Make Pricing Happen, Don’t Let It Happen to You

How episodic pricing creates panic—and continuous pricing creates advantage

Fred Puech
Founder, Keenalytix
Pricing Decision Partner

Most companies don't struggle with pricing because they lack data or intelligence. They struggle because pricing happens to them. Periods of inaction are interrupted by moments of urgency. Margins erode. Costs spike. Competitors move. The board asks questions. Pricing becomes a fire drill. Every decision feels heavier than it should.

The problem is posture. Pricing is treated as an event, not as something deliberately managed over time.

Pricing as an Episodic Event

In most organizations, pricing sits quietly in the background for months or years. Lists remain unchanged. Discount structures persist by inertia. Market signals are noticed but not acted upon. Pricing is important, just not urgent. Until it suddenly is.

Then a trigger hits. Costs move. Volume softens. A competitor acts. A pointed question surfaces. What follows is not measured adjustment but a surge of activity. A pricing initiative launches. Analyses are commissioned. Meetings multiply. Decisions deferred for months are now expected immediately, and to be right.

Each moment is treated as exceptional and carries disproportionate weight. Because pricing hasn't been exercised regularly, the organization lacks muscle memory. There is no established cadence and no reference point for what normal looks like. Every move feels risky and politically charged.

Many of these initiatives are technically solid. The analyses are thoughtful. The recommendations make sense. But because pricing only surfaces under pressure, even good decisions feel uncomfortable and provoke resistance. When the dust settles, pricing retreats.

Until the next emergency.

Why Episodic Pricing Persists

The issue is structural, not technical. Pricing sits between finance, sales, marketing, and operations, but rarely belongs fully to any of them. Responsibility is shared. In practice, that means it is deferred. When pricing is no one's continuous job, it becomes everyone's concern only under pressure.

There is no natural cadence. Unlike forecasting or budgeting, pricing decisions lack a regular schedule. Without rhythm, there is no expectation of small, ongoing adjustments. There is only hope that the last decision will hold. When it doesn't, the organization must relearn how to price under duress.

Finally, organizations lack institutional memory around pricing. Each initiative starts from scratch. Prior trade-offs are forgotten. Context is lost. Lessons are not carried forward.

None of this reflects a lack of intent or effort. It reflects the absence of a system designed to make pricing a normal management activity rather than a recurring exception.

The One-and-Done Pricing Project

When pricing becomes painful, the natural response is to hope it will not happen again soon. Organizations invest heavily, analyze thoroughly, and aim to get it right. Not just for the next quarter, but for the foreseeable future.

This expectation creates one of the most common pricing failures: overcorrection.

Because decisions are made under pressure and intended to last, organizations push harder than markets can absorb. Prices rise decisively. Structures are simplified aggressively. For a moment, the problem appears solved. Then demand softens, competitors respond, or customers change behavior.

What follows is predictable. Promotions multiply. Discounts reappear. Exceptions spread. Commercial teams gain more latitude. The discipline the pricing initiative imposed begins to erode. Not because the strategy was wrong, but because it was asked to do too much at once.

Strong pricing organizations avoid this whiplash. They adjust deliberately, in smaller steps. They price and promote just enough. Not to maximize a single move, but to maintain control over the system as a whole.

The problem is not that pricing projects are poorly executed. It is that they are asked to substitute for something they cannot provide: continuity.

From Pricing Events to a Managed System

Organizations that avoid pricing panic are not immune to market pressure. The difference is not what happens to them, but how pricing happens inside the organization.

In these companies, pricing is an ongoing management responsibility, not a periodic intervention. There is clear ownership. Not necessarily centralized control, but an explicit mandate to monitor, assess, and act. Pricing is revisited regularly, even when nothing appears broken. Small adjustments are made before larger ones are forced.

Because pricing is exercised continuously, decision-making becomes lighter. There is less pressure to fix everything at once. Moves are sized to available confidence. Trade-offs are explicit. When conditions change, the organization already knows how to respond.

Pricing decisions accumulate memory. Prior moves, assumptions, and outcomes inform the next decision. Over time, the organization develops judgment. Not just models, but judgment about customer reactions, resistance patterns, and which levers matter most.

This is why strong pricing organizations rarely overcorrect. They do not rely on large, infrequent increases followed by aggressive promotions. Pricing and promotion are coordinated continuously. Adjustments are incremental. Intervention is proportional.

The result is not perfection. Markets remain uncertain. Risk never disappears. But pricing stops feeling like a crisis. Decisions are made with greater confidence, less friction, and better timing.

When pricing shifts from episodic to continuous, the change is not subtle. It shows up quickly in how decisions are made, discussed, and absorbed across the organization.

What Changes When Pricing Is Continuous

The most noticeable change is behavioral. Pricing conversations become more frequent and less dramatic. Decisions that once required escalation become part of normal operating dialogue.

Timing improves first. Because pricing is monitored regularly, organizations act earlier, when smaller adjustments suffice. Price moves are no longer delayed until margins force action. This alone reduces risk. Markets absorb measured changes more easily than sudden corrections.

Decision quality improves even without perfect information. Continuous pricing shifts focus from being right to staying in control. Assumptions are explicit. Uncertainty is acknowledged. Moves are sized accordingly. When outcomes differ from expectations, the organization adjusts rather than defends.

Internal friction declines. Pricing no longer arrives as a disruptive event. Commercial teams are not asked to absorb large changes at once. Finance gains visibility without enforcing discipline through exception. Trust builds. Not because everyone agrees, but because the process is predictable.

Most importantly, pricing decisions stop accumulating emotional weight. No single move needs to last. There is confidence that adjustments can be revisited, refined, or reversed if needed. That confidence changes behavior.

The advantage is not better prices in isolation. It is better decisions, made earlier, with less friction, and sustained over time.

A Different Question About Pricing

Most pricing discussions focus on the next move. How much should we increase? When should we act? How far can we push?

A more useful question is whether pricing, as it exists today in your organization, is making decisions easier over time or harder. Whether each move reduces uncertainty or compounds it. Whether the organization is building judgment and confidence, or simply enduring another round of pressure.

Pricing will always involve risk. Markets change. Customers react unpredictably. No model captures everything that matters. But the difference between organizations that struggle with pricing and those that consistently perform is rarely the brilliance of any single decision.

It is whether pricing is designed to be managed deliberately and continuously, or allowed to surface only when circumstances force it.

The real test of a pricing approach is not how it performs in a moment of urgency. It is whether the next decision arrives with more clarity, more confidence, and less friction than the last.